BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 4

IN THE MATTER OF:)	RCRA-UST-04-2010-0001
Donald F. Strickland	Ś	Proceeding under Section 9006
2425 Legion Road)	of the Resource Conservation
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28306	•)	and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e
)	سم ورزن
RESPONDENT.)	₩n ==
	_)	हुं है <i>हैं । इ</i> क् च्या कर्म क्यू
		· 5-

RESPONSE TO SECOND ORDER TO SUPPLEMENT RECORD

On January 6, 2011, this Tribunal issued a Second Order to Supplement the Record (Order) requiring Complainant to submit evidence supporting the penalty for Count III of Complainant's January 12, 2010, Complaint. Specifically, the Order requires evidence addressing the following factors of the penalty for Count III: (1) Days of Noncompliance Multiplier; and (2) Economic Benefit Component. (Order, at 3.)

I. Days of Noncompliance Multiplier (DNM)

- Pursuant to the U.S. EPA Penalty Guidance for Violations of UST Regulations
 (1990) (UST Penalty Policy), a proposed penalty should include a DNM.
- 2. The DNM for the penalty for Count III of the Complaint is "1". (See Ex. A.)

II. Economic Benefit Component

- Pursuant to the UST Penalty Policy, a proposed penalty should include an Economic Benefit Component.
- 2. The economic benefit component for the penalty for Count III of the Complaint is "\$0.00". (See Ex. A.)

Respectfully submitted on January 2011.

Counsel for Complainant

IN THE MATTER OF: Donald F. Strickland, RCRA-UST-04-2010-0001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the Response to Second Order to Supplement Record was sent on Jan. 2011, to the following person in the following manner:

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

Donald F. Strickland 2425 Legion Road Fayetteville, North Carolina 28306

I further certify that the Response to Order to Supplement Record was filed on <u>San. 20</u>, 2011, with the Region 4 Regional Hearing Clerk, as specified below:

Hand Delivery -- Original and one copy

Patricia Bullock Regional Hearing Clerk US EPA Region 4 61 Forsyth St, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Jan. 20 . 2011

Alfred R. Politzer
Assistant Regional Counsel
US EPA Region 4
61 Forsyth St., S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

alfu R. Porgra

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 4

IN THE MATTER OF:)	RCRA-UST-04-2010-0001
)	
Donald F. Strickland)	Proceeding under Section 9006
2425 Legion Road)	of the Resource Conservation
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28306)	and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e
•)	-
RESPONDENT.)	
)	

AFFIDAVIT OF JASON POE

Jason Poe, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. My name is Jason Poe, and I am employed as a Compliance and Enforcement
 Officer in the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Section of the Resource Conservation
 and Recovery Act Division of the US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4.
- On June 25, 2009, I conducted a UST inspection at Respondent's facility located at 2425 Legion Road, Fayetteville, North Carolina 28306.
- As a result of my inspection, I determined that Respondent had violated various federal and state UST regulatory requirements. I calculated penalties for these violations.
- 4. Respondent's violations included its failure to respond to an information request that I issued to Respondent during my June 25, 2009, inspection. This violation constituted Count III of Complainant's January 12, 2010, Complaint filed in this matter.
- 4. I calculated the penalty for Count III pursuant to the *U.S. EPA Penalty Guidance* for Violations of UST Regulations (1990) (UST Penalty Policy). This policy requires me to take into account the seriousness of the violations and any good faith efforts to comply.
- Pursuant to the UST Penalty Policy, a proposed penalty should include a Days of Noncompliance Multiplier (DNM).

- 6. The DNM is based on the number of days of noncompliance. For 0-90 days of noncompliance, the DNM is 1. For 91-180 days of noncompliance, the DNM is 1.5.
- 7. The information request I issued to Respondent on June 25, 2009, required Respondent to reply to EPA by July 14, 2009. (See Ex. A1.) However, Respondent failed to fully comply with the information request until October 23, 2009. Therefore, Respondent was in violation of this requirement from July 15, 2009 to October 22, 2009, resulting in 100 days of noncompliance and a corresponding DNM of 1.5.
- 9. However, Respondent called me on July 20, 2009, and indicated that he would attempt to fax me the information. Although I did not receive all of the required information until October 23, 2010, I determined, pursuant to the UST Penalty Policy, that because Respondent made a good faith effort to send me the information within 90 days of the requirement, a DNM of 1 was more appropriate. (See Ex. A2.)
- 10. Pursuant to the UST Penalty Policy, a proposed penalty should include an Economic Benefit Component.
- 11. The economic benefit component for the penalty for Count III of the Complaint is \$0.00, because there were no avoided or delayed costs. (See Ex. A2.)

Compliance and Enforcement Officer

n to and Subscribed before me this

of January, 2011.

Notary Public

EXHIBIT A1



USE D STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTICION AGENCY REGION 4 ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER

61 FORSYTH STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

IJST Fa	cility Name:	DF S	Strick	and	Merc	hand	lise		
UST Fa	cility Address:	2425	Legion	28	Fayet	hou: He	<u> Ni C</u>	28301	~ <u></u> _
UST O	vner/Operator N	vame: Do	nald_	<u>F.</u> S	trick/a	nd	**************************************		
§ 280.3	ompliance insp 4 and Section 9 and operators o	ection of you XXX of the R If USTs main	r facility to a esource Contain r	determine servation a ecords and	your complian and Recovery I provide such	ace with 40 C Act (RCRA), records for i	F.R. Part 286 , 42 U.S.C. § nspection upo	6991d, requires that on request.	
availabl	You are hereby e at the time of		•	e toliowing	; records, it ap	pricable to y	our tacility, w	which were not	
G	identify all under tank size, and iden							sition of tank and pipin	z ,
o	Provide copies of his monaterial life							न्द्र अ Naural Resource	٠.
6/	C.F.R. Part 280.4 system. Include a	l. Provide docu ny tank or pipin	mentation for ti g tightness tests	ne past twelv _s STR results,	e months of lank inventory contro	and piping reter I records, ground	se detection per I water monitoria	thity, as required by 40 formed for each UST ng results, vapor of release detection.	
G	metalitic compone	nus. Provide dos cumentation of t	cumentation of	the tast two c	stixuite protectio	oo system tests :	required by 40 (m containing buried C.F.R.\$ 280.31(b) (eve required every 60 days)	
G	identify any type to service, as requ						was tightness te	sted prior to being return	ned
s V	Identify and document of the Control					lapper valve, auc	fible/visible alam	m, etc.	***************************************
Enforce	The records sh ment officer at			in <u>M</u> bu	siness days o	f the inspecti	on and maile	d to the undersigne	đ
			Undergrou	Re Atlanta Fo nd Storage 61 Forsyt	mental Protect gion 4 ederal Center Tank Section h Street, SW rgia 30303-89	(GWDW)		*	
ATIN:	Sometiment C	<u> </u>	be (F/3	S/// Number	***************************************	le /2 Date	25/09	
	Book	: di	nonu	Ol I	Casha	L	L	≈/∂s/o9	
	Received By	,		Positi	on	***	D	aic	*********

EXHIBIT A2

UST PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Assessments for each violation should be determined on separate worksheets and totaled. (If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet.)

PART 1 - BACKGROUND

Company Name: DF Strickle	and Merchandise	
Regulation violated: 40 CFR 28	0.34 - Failure to sop	and to information require
Previous violations:	indeptor of the state of the st	**************************************
Date of requirement: 1/15/2009 Date of compliance: 10/23/2009	Date of Inspe Explanation (ction: 4/25/2003 if appropriate):
1. Days of noncompliance: 100 (Rec. 1 us.) 2. Number of tanks:	ause Mr Strickland we list Keep the multiplier I actually send strip	de sontect with me 7/2 L. Though Mr. Strickland did until 10/23/2009)
	NOMIC BENEFIT COME	•
Avoided Expenditures:	Basis:	
Delayed Expenditures:	Basis:	0
Weighted Tax Rate:	Source:	15
Interest Rate:	Source:	
Avoided = Avoided Expenditures + Avoided		ys] x (1 - Weighted Tax Rate)
Costs	765 Days	
3. Calculated Avoided Cost: \$	_Ø	

UST PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Delayo	ed Costs = <u>Delayed Expe</u>	nditures x Intere 365 Da		x % x c	<u> 245</u> = \$0.00		
4.	Calculated Delayed Co	ost: \$ _	<u> </u>	365			
5.	Economic Benefit Component: \$ (Line 3 + Line 4)						
	PART 3 - MATRIX	VALUE FOR T	THE GR	AVITY-BA	SED COMPONENT		
Potent	ial for Harm: Major	Extent	of Devia	ation:	major		
6.	Matrix Value (MV):	\$2130 (From de	ocument	page 16 or /	•		
7.	Per-tank MV: <u>\$2/30</u>	If violation is will be the s			ent on Line 7 (Line 2 x Line 6) in Line 6)		
	PART 4 - VIOLATOR-SPECIFIC ADJUSTMENTS TO MATRIX VALUE						
		Percentage x Change (+ or -)			nt Justification		
8.	Degree of cooperation noncooperation:	<i>O</i>	<u> </u>	<u>\$0</u>			
9	Degree of willfulness or negligence:	<u></u>	0	_50			
10.	History of noncompliance:	0	<u> </u>	<u>\$0</u>			
11.	Unique factors:			<u>\$0</u>			
Adjust	ted Matrix Value (Line 7 + Lines 8-11):	2130					

UST PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

PART 5 - GRAVITY-BASED COMPONENT

Level Envir	l of ronmental Sensitivity: /	Justific	cation: Few rec	leptors
13.	ESM (from document Page 21):	10	·	·
14.	DNM (from document Page 21):	1.0 (k	espino DNM	010)
CRA	VITY-BASED COMPONENT = Adjusted i	Matrîx Value x	Environmental Sensitivity x Multiplier	Days of Noncompliance Multiplier
15.	Gravity-Based Component: (Line 12 x Line 13 x Line 14): \$ 2	130	and the second s	
	PART 6 - INITIAL PE	NALTY TAR	GET FIGURE	
l 6 .	Economic Benefit Component: \$ (from Line 5)	<u> </u>		
17.	Gravity-Based Component: \$	2130		
18.	Initial Penalty Target Figure: \$ (Line 16 + Line 17)	2130		
sign	ATURE Of P		DATE:	1/15/2011